Basic Electrical Engineering KEE101/201

Department of Engineering Uttar Pradesh Textile Technology Institute Sessio Semester-II Faculty: Dr Indra Prakash Mishra





Text Book

(1) I. J. Nagrath and D. P. Kothari Basic Electrical Engineering- Tata McGraw Hill Reference Books:
(2) Alexander S. Langsdorf Theory of Alternating Current Machinery-TMGH
(3) D. P. Kothari , I. J. Nagrath Electric Machines-Tata McGraw Hill



We understand electrical machines as electromechanical energy conversion devices.

So first we have to understand......

.....why voltage transformation is necessary??



- The power industry survives on the economics of cost recovery.
- The larger the power plant is, the more economical power generation is.
- Large power plants may be built at the locations where resources like coal or water or gas etc are available in abundance.
- These may be at very far places from the load centers.
- So the power needs to be transported over the long distances from generation stations to load centers.



@ipmi/

- Lines are designed to operate at constant current density J
- $R = \rho \frac{l}{a} = \rho \frac{l}{I} J$
- Transmission Power Loss $P_l = I^2 R$
- Transmission Power Loss $\mathbf{R} = I^2 \rho \frac{l}{I} J$
- Transmission Power Loss $P_l = I \rho l J$
- Transmission Power Loss $P_l = \frac{P}{V} \rho l J$
- $P_l = \frac{l}{V} X(Constant)$
- So to reduce line loss P_l we need to increase Voltage.



- It is not convenient to generate at high voltage say at 400 kV. Generation is normally done at 11 or 22 kV and not more than that.
- Therefore Voltage needs to be stepped up for transmission.
- It is not convenient and safe to design common load devices which operate at high voltages
- So we need to step down the transmission voltage.
- That's why we need **Transformer** !!!!



- Of course the transformer losses come into picture.
- But fortunately we have the transformer efficiency more than 85 % in normal cases.
- So general conception is that we need to have a power system as:





@ipmishra

• Simplest method is to use potential divider



- But here we cannot
 step up the voltage
 - And there will be huge losses in resistance

• Next option is to use a coil (Inductor)



Now it is low loss method We may step up the voltage also if we interchange the position of load and source possibility of damage to the load during

short circuit of A to C

@ipmishra

or fault.

- The circuit was basically known as auto transformer.
- Now as magnetic circuits do not need physical connection, we may separate these two coils and couple them magnetically to do the same function.
- Less Probability of Damage to the load during short circuit of two coil terminals.



@iomishra

AT any point P near to a wire carrying current i:

- The Magnetic Field intensity vector will be \vec{H} and
- Magnetic flux density vector will be \vec{B}





The Magnetic flux density at the point P due to an incremental length of wire dl will be given by Biot Savart's Law as : $d\vec{B} = \frac{\mu_r \mu_o}{4\pi} \frac{i d\vec{l} \, x \, \vec{r}}{r^3}$ $\vec{B} = \oint \frac{\mu_r \mu_o}{4\pi} \frac{i d\vec{l} \, x \, \vec{r}}{r^3}$ The flux density due to wire of length l will be given by the integral over length l.

@iomi/h/a

- As solution of magnetic circuit using Biot Savart is not convenient we will use another method which is <u>Amperes Circuital Law.</u>
- According to this law the Line integral of magnetic field intensity along a closed path will be sum of all the currents enclosed within the loop.

$$\oint \vec{H} \cdot d\vec{l} = \mathbf{I}$$







We have a core of regular shape on which some winding is put. Here N.i = H.l or $H = \frac{Ni}{l}$

$$H = \frac{Ni}{I}$$

$$Magnetic Circuits$$

$$\vec{B} = \mu_r \mu_o \vec{H}$$

- So finding out B is relatively easier in this way.
- we have assumption that due to high permeability of iron core all the flux is confined to the core
- Hence leakage flux outside the core in negligible





will be dc. If ac is applied it will alternate

 Faraday's Law of Electromagnetic Induction:
 Emf induced will be equal to the rate of change of flux. in a coil wound over a *core:* $\phi = \phi_m \cos \omega t$ $e = N \frac{d\phi}{dt}$ $e = N\omega\phi_m \sin\omega t$ $e = N2\pi f \phi_m \sin \omega t$ $E_m = N 2 \pi f \phi_m$ $N2\pi f\phi_m$ $E_{rms} =$ $E_{rms} = 4.44 f \phi_m N$

@ipmishra



Ideal Transformer is a conceptual Device with following Assumptions:

- Coil resistance is zero (Lossless)
- Core material has infinite permeability
- No flux Leakages (All flux is linked with the core)
- No eddy current losses, No Hysteresis Losses.



Principle of Operation of a conceptual Ideal Transformer





- We must understand that there is no such thing like 'Ideal Transformer'. It is only a conceptual device.
- If an ac voltage is applied at one side terminals of transformer, This side is known as primary side.
- Another side is called Secondary side.
 - **Secondary side** : if terminals are left open then transformer is said to be at "No Load"
 - Secondary side : If Load is connected across the terminals the transformer is said to be " On Load"

- V₁ = Applied ac Voltage(RMS)
- $E_1 = 4.44 f \phi_m N_1$
- $E_2 = 4.44 f \phi_m N_2$
- E₁ = Induced Voltage in Primary(RMS)
- E₂ = Induced Voltage in Secondary(RMS)
- V₂ = Terminal ac secondary Voltage(RMS)

$$\frac{E_1}{E_2} = \frac{N_1}{N_2} = \frac{V_1}{V_2}$$

- Excitation current is zero for primary Ni
- *I*₂ = Secondary current when load is connected
- $N_2I_2 = Secondary\,mmf$
- N₁I₁ = Primary counter mmf
- $\bullet N_2 I_2 = N_1 I_1$
- $\bullet \frac{N_1}{N_2} = \frac{I_2}{I_1}$
- Draw Phasor Diagram



- Coil resistance is zero (Lossless)
- Core material has infinite permeability
- No flux Leakages (All flux is linked with the core)
- No eddy current losses, No Hysteresis Losses.

- Coil resistance is present though it is low.
- Core material has finite permeability
 - Some Flux Leakages occur through air. Represented by Leakage reactance.
- Eddy current and Hysteresis Losses are present. (core or Fixed Losses)



@	PM	ishra



@ipmishra





